1	JOSEPH P. RUSSONIELLO (CABN 44332) United States Attorney
2	BRIAN J. STRETCH (CABN 163973) Chief, Criminal Division
4 5 6 7 8	JOHN N. GLANG (GUAMBN 94012) Assistant United States Attorney 150 Almaden Boulevard, Suite 900 San Jose, California 95113 Telephone: (408)-535-5084 Fax: (408)-535-5066 E-Mail: John.Glang@usdoj.gov Attorneys for Plaintiff
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
11	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
12	SAN JOSE DIVISION
13	
14	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,) No. CR 05-00162-RMW
15	Plaintiff,) STIPULATION AND []
16	v.) ORDER RESCHEDULING STATUS HEARING AND EXCLUDING TIME
17 18	MISAEL SANDOVAL-RODRIGUEZ)
19	
20	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED by the undersigned that the status hearing in this case,
21	currently scheduled for Monday, May 3, 2010 at 9:00 a.m., be vacated and rescheduled for
22	Monday, June 7, 2010 at 9:00 a.m. The parties further stipulate that the court may exclude the
23	period of time from May 3, 2010 through and including June 7, 2010 from the computation of
24	the period of time within which the trial must commence for the reasons set forth in the proposed
25	order below.
26	It is so stipulated.
27	Dated:4/30/10/s/
28	JOHN N. GLANG Assistant United States Attorney
	STIPULATION AND ORDER RESCHEDULING STATUS HEARING DATE AND EXCLUDING TIME CR 05-00162-RMW

Case 5:05-cr-00162-RMW Document 24 Filed 05/06/10 Page 2 of 2

1	Dated: _4/30/10/s/
2	MATTHEW J. JACOBS Attorney for Misael Sandoval-Rodriguez
3	
4	[] ORDER
5	Based upon the stipulation of the parties, it is hereby ordered that the status hearing in this
6	case, previously scheduled for Monday, May 3, 2010 at 9:00 a.m., be vacated and rescheduled
7	for Monday, June 7, 2010 at 9:00 a.m.
8	Pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Section 3161(h), the court excludes the period of
9	time from May 3, 2010 through and including June 7, 2010 from the computation of the period
10	of time within which the trial must commence. The court FINDS that the ends of justice served
11	by the delay outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. The
12	court bases this finding on the need of the government to analyze factual and legal issues
13	concerning a possible violation of the defendant's constitutional right to a speedy trial, and to
14	afford counsel the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, within the meaning of 18
15	U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).
16	
17	It is so ordered: Dated: 5/6/10 RONALD M. WHYTE
18	Dated: 5/6/10 RONALD M WHYTE
19	United States District Judge
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

STIPULATION AND ORDER RESCHEDULING STATUS HEARING DATE AND EXCLUDING TIME CR 05-00162-RMW $\ensuremath{2}$